



Town of Duxbury Massachusetts Planning Board

Approved 01/23/12

TOWN CLERK

12 JAN 25 PM 12:21

DUXBURY, MASS.

Minutes 01/09/12

The Planning Board met at the Duxbury Senior Center, 10 Mayflower Street, on Monday, January 9, 2012 at 7:00 PM.

Present: Amy MacNab, Chairman; George Wadsworth, Vice-Chairman; Cynthia Ladd Fiorini, Clerk; John Bear, Josh Cutler, and Brian Glennon.

Absent: Jennifer Turcotte.

Staff: Thomas Broadrick, Planning Director; and Diane Grant, Administrative Assistant.

Ms. MacNab called the Planning Board meeting to order at 7:02 PM.

OPEN FORUM

Alternative Energy Committee: Mr. Cutler reported that the town has signed an agreement with Pegasus Renewable Energy, LLC to purchase net metered energy credits at a discount of 18.5 cents per dollar and the potential savings is estimated at \$25,000 to \$30,000 per year.

Community Preservation Committee: Mr. Bear reported that the committee has voted for seven projects to move forward as Annual Town Meeting 2012 warrant articles. A proposal to purchase land that was the subject of a recent Planning Board Definitive Subdivision approval on High Street was declined because the land group did not recommend its purchase.

Zoning Bylaw Review Committee (ZBRC): Mr. Wadsworth reported that the committee is reviewing Zoning Bylaws paragraph by paragraph. He noted that some ZBRC members support the removal of the Wetlands Protection Zoning District because they feel it is redundant to the Wetlands Protection General Bylaw.

ANR PLAN OF LAND: 0 & 907 TREMONT STREET / ALFIERI AND MILES

Because the applicants were not present, Ms. MacNab deferred discussion until later in the meeting.

PUBLIC HEARING FOR ANNUAL TOWN MEETING 2012 ZONING ARTICLE: AMENDMENT TO ZONING BYLAWS SECTIONS 200 AND 400 (FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAPS)

Ms. MacNab opened the public hearing for amendments to Zoning Bylaws regarding the adoption of revised Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM maps) published by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

Ms. Ladd Fiorini read the public hearing notice and read the correspondence list into the public record:

- Article submitted to Board of Selectmen on 12/06/11
- Public hearing notice submitted to Town Clerk on 12/15/11 and published in the *Duxbury Clipper* on 12/21/11, 12/28/11 and 01/04/12
- Emails between T. Broadrick and D. Mendelsohn (FEMA) dated 12/03/10 – 12/28/11.

878 Tremont Street, Duxbury, MA 02332; Telephone: 781-934-1100 x 148; Fax: 781-934-1137

The mission of the Town of Duxbury is to deliver excellent services to the community in the most fiscally responsible and innovative manner while endeavoring to broaden our sense of community and preserve the unique character of our town.

Mr. Broadrick explained the article, noting that each Massachusetts community needs to vote to adopt FIRM maps. FEMA has revised maps so that Duxbury is now part of a Plymouth County FIRM map instead of maps for individual towns. No other revisions are proposed. The Annual Town Meeting article proposes adoption of the new FIRM maps and a change to the FIRM map date referenced in Zoning Bylaws. A map date has not yet been confirmed but is expected to be announced by early February. Assuming it is adopted at Annual Town Meeting, the map would become effective sixty days after the map date. Mr. Glennon confirmed with Mr. Broadrick that municipal boundaries are shown on the proposed FIRM maps.

MOTION: Mr. Wadsworth made a motion, and Mr. Glennon provided a second, to close the public hearing for a proposed Annual Town Meeting article to adopt Duxbury Flood Insurance Rate Maps as prepared by the Federal Emergency Management Agency and to substitute the map referenced with the new title and date in Zoning Bylaws Sections 202.1 and 202.2.9, and 402.1.

VOTE: The motion passed unanimously, 6-0.

MOTION: Mr. Wadsworth made a motion, and Mr. Glennon provided a second, to recommend APPROVAL of a proposed Annual Town Meeting article to adopt Duxbury Flood Insurance Rate Maps as prepared by the Federal Emergency Management Agency and to substitute the map referenced with the new title and date in Zoning Bylaws Sections 202.1 and 202.2.9, and 402.1.

VOTE: The motion passed unanimously, 6-0.

PUBLIC HEARING FOR ANNUAL TOWN MEETING 2012 ZONING ARTICLES: TWO CITIZEN PETITIONS FOR AMENDMENTS TO ZBL SECTION 600 (COMMUNITY-SCALE WIND FACILITIES)

Ms. MacNab opened the hearing for a citizen petition for four amendments to Zoning Bylaws regarding Community-Scale Wind Facilities (Short Form). Ms. Ladd Fiorini read the public hearing notice and read the correspondence list into the public record:

- Citizen petition submitted to Board of Selectmen and Planning Board on 12/13/11
- Public hearing notice submitted to Town Clerk on 12/15/11 and published in the *Duxbury Clipper* on 12/21/11, 12/28/11 and 01/04/12
- Emails between T. Broadrick and E. Nolan dated 12/22/11 and 01/03/12.

Atty. Christopher Senie of Westborough was present as attorney for the petitioners who was retained in order to assist in a proposal to modify the existing Community Scale Wind Facilities zoning bylaw. Approximately 40 members of the public were present also. Mr. Broadrick noted that two citizen petitions are proposed regarding the Community Scale Wind Facilities and therefore two public hearings are required. He recommended separating the public hearings so that each petition can be voted on separately. Atty. Senie requested that the Board address the "Long Form" article either first or concurrently because there is some overlapping information. Board members agreed to open the second public hearing so both articles could be presented simultaneously.

Therefore, Ms. MacNab opened the public hearing for a citizen petition for thirteen amendments to Zoning Bylaws regarding Community-Scale Wind Facilities (Long Form). Ms. Ladd read the public hearing notice for this article and the correspondence list into the public record:

- Citizen Petition submitted to Board of Selectmen and Planning Board on 12/13/11
- Public hearing notice submitted to Town Clerk on 12/15/11 and published in the *Duxbury Clipper* on 12/21/11, 12/28/11 and 01/04/12
- Emails between T. Broadrick and E. Nolan dated 12/22/11 and 01/03/12.

Ms. MacNab asked Atty. Senie to present the "long form" article first. Atty. Senie distributed a booklet with a printing of proposed amendments, along with a one copy of a large appendix of supporting materials. He reviewed proposed changes to the Zoning Bylaw along with the reasoning behind the revisions:

- Height: A maximum height of 225 feet is proposed instead of the current maximum of 250 feet. Atty. Senie stated that the petitioners felt 225 feet is more appropriate to a community-scale wind facility, and 250 feet may be more appropriate for industrial designs. Mr. Wadsworth asked if the facility's performance would be cut back with a lower maximum height, and Atty. Senie responded that it would.
- Capacity: A maximum capacity of 225 kilowatts is proposed, while there is no specified maximum capacity in the existing bylaw. Atty. Senie stated this would ensure that the wind facility remains at a "community scale." Mr. Glennon asked if technology advances where more output could be achieved without raising the height, why restrict the capacity? Atty. Senie responded that above 200 kilowatts would result in industrial capacity. His clients have told him that industrial scale is not appropriate for the town of Duxbury. Ms. MacNab asked if there is a detrimental effect of an increase in capacity, and Atty. Senie responded that larger blade diameters result in a low frequency thumping that can disturb abutters.
- Setback: A minimum setback of 15 times the total height to the nearest property line is proposed instead of the current 1.1 times. Mr. Glennon noted that this may eliminate the feasibility of community-scale wind turbines in the town of Duxbury. Atty. Senie responded that it is not an all-or-nothing scenario and his clients do not want to eliminate wind turbines. Ms. MacNab asked for the rationale behind the proposal of 15 times. Atty. Senie responded that consultants have studied and recommended a 1.25 mile setback and based its proposal on that basis. Ms. Ladd Fiorini asked if there is scientific data that supports a 15 times setback for community-scale facilities, and Mr. Senie replied that he has not seen such data.
- Meteorological Towers/SODAR (Sonic Detection and Ranging): Atty. Senie noted that a new requirement for "met towers" or SODAR is proposed in order to ensure that wind profiles and wind resources are understood prior to permitting. He stated that it is very important for the wind resource to be defined in order to determine if the desired capacity can be achieved, and it is also important to determine any wind shear potential which would amplify the sound.

Ms. MacNab asked where the language came from in this proposed paragraph, and Atty. Senie responded that the town of Plymouth has a 25 percent capacity factor and he included a 28 percent standard. He stated that a recent feasibility study commissioned by the Alternative Energy Committee uses virtual met tower data, but the petitioners believe that this is not good enough and want to require installation of a met tower in order to provide accurate data to determine if the project is financially viable (sufficient wind) and to determine the shape of the wind on site to find out the potential for wind shear. Ms. MacNab expressed a concern that this could be viewed as over-regulation.

Mr. Wadsworth asked if the petitioners propose two wind towers to be constructed, both a met tower and the actual one, and Atty. Senie responded "yes," noting that it is not wise to permit a wind facility without a met tower and SODAR. It is currently not required but the petitioners recommend that it should be. Ms. Ladd Fiorini asked if met towers and SODAR are standards for community-scale facilities in Massachusetts and Atty. Senie responded that they are not but in his opinion they should.

- Noise/Sound Pressures: Sound level and pressure limitations are proposed to be added with a maximum sound pressure level increase of 6dB to replace existing language regarding a maximum 10dB level and reference to a "pure tone" condition. Atty. Senie quoted a consultant to the state's

Clean Energy Committee as stating that a turbine can create up to 65dB or more, which may result in "Sick Building Syndrome," an industrial issue where mechanical equipment can create a sub-audible sound that makes workers sick.

Another proposed amendment would ban aerodynamic amplitude modulated (AAM) weighted sound pressures which average 4dB maximum or greater using "RMS Fast" measures on a sound meter. Mr. Cutler asked how this could be achieved during the permitting process, and Atty. Senie replied that it could be predicted using computer models. Mr. Senie pointed out graphs in his presentation binder that show AAMs that reach over 46 dB and sound distributions that exceed 70 dB. Ms. MacNab noted that the tests were performed on a "V82 Turbine" and asked about its height. Atty. Senie replied that the V82 height is 397 feet. Ms. MacNab asked why this additional testing should be required for heights that do not exceed 225 feet as proposed in the citizen petition. She stated that the Board is criticized for over-regulation and asked if this would be a potential example. Atty. Senie responded that it may be perceived as overkill; however the petitioners preferred a four-part test in order to protect abutters.

Mr. Glennon questioned the proposed language to apply noise and sound pressure limits retroactively, noting that there are no existing wind facilities. Mr. Senie responded that this was an oversight because he was using language from other communities that have existing wind facilities.

- Shadow/Flicker: Mr. Glennon asked how a proposed requirement for mitigation to avoid shadow flicker at any residence greater than five hours per year could be measured during the permitting process. Atty. Senie responded that shadow flicker can be measured in homes. The industrial standard is 30 hours, while property value specialists recommend zero. No standard has been set so far in Zoning Bylaws. Mr. Bear asked how it would be monitored, and Atty. Senie replied that he is not sure, but a consultant can predict it during the permitting process. Mr. Glennon asked if the petitioners are referencing shadow flicker on the property or in the dwelling, and Atty. Senie responded that existing Zoning Bylaws do not specify and he did not either.

Ms. Ladd Fiorini asked if the 225 height restriction and 15 times setback limit are necessary for a community-scale wind facility, and Atty. Senie responded that this is what his clients wanted to bring to Annual Town Meeting. Mr. Wadsworth noted that the Planning Board is required to vote on the proposed language of the citizen petition before them. Atty. Senie replied that the Board has the authority to shape or amend a petition however it chooses, and it would be up to the Town Moderator to accept those changes.

Ms. MacNab asked Atty. Senie to present the petitioners' proposals for the "Short Form" citizen petition, and Atty. Senie noted that this proposal relates only to height and setbacks, with a proposed maximum height of 225 feet instead of the existing 250 feet, and a proposed amendment to setback at 15 times total height instead of the existing 1.1 times height. Setbacks are also proposed for met towers.

Ms. Ladd Fiorini asked why height and setback limits are proposed to be included in Section 616.2 "Definitions," as well as Section 616.4 "Certain Specific Requirements," and Atty. Senie stated that they were added to definitions in order to reinforce the limits.

Atty. Senie concluded by stating that the existing Community-Scale Wind Facilities zoning bylaw is essentially a good one but needs to be amended in order to make sure that only community-scale wind turbines can be installed.

Ms. MacNab opened the floor to public comments.

TOWN CLERK
12 JAN 25 PM 12:21
DUXBURY, MASS.

Mr. Christopher Sherman of 92 Hounds Ditch Lane offered to explain the petitioners' rationale, stating that they feel that the proposed 225-foot height restriction and setback limits would ensure that wind facilities are appropriate for the town of Duxbury. He stated that the petitioners are not anti-wind but are concerned with the aesthetic, rural character of the town. He noted that residents were surprised to see the wind turbines recently installed in the town of Kingston and want to make sure any facilities in the town of Duxbury are built to an appropriate scale.

Mr. Sherman stated that the petitioners have done extensive research and concluded that turbines should be set back 1.25 miles from residences, mostly due to potential health effects. He stated that technology needs to come up to speed to make wind facilities feasible, aesthetically, economically and healthwise. He noted that the petitioners have met with the Alternative Energy Committee and have a joint meeting coming up. The petitioners are trying to be reasonable and work in the best interest of the town. They are trying to slow down the process to make sure it gets done right. Ms. MacNab commended the petitioners for their education efforts.

Mr. William Driver of One Cape Verde Terrace expressed his support for the citizen petitions. He stated that he would like to see a wind turbine in town but not one next to anybody's dwelling. He agreed with setbacks proposed tonight, noting that he would prefer them to be even further. He asked if taxpayers would be responsible for paying for met tower or SODAR equipment that is proposed. Atty. Senie stated that any wind facilities proposed in the town of Duxbury will be permitted through a Special Permit Granting Authority and would be an open process.

Mr. Dick Rothschild of 105 Standish Street, a member of a private group called Sustainable Duxbury, stated that if a 15-times height setback property line restriction is approved for wind turbines, none could be built. A model bylaw created by the Massachusetts Division of Energy Resources recommends 1.5 times. He noted examples throughout the Commonwealth of Massachusetts where setbacks were much smaller from schools and commercial buildings. He noted that proponents of the articles speak of the potential danger of ice throw and fires, stating that the actual risk from a 750-foot distance is one in a million.

Mr. James Savicki of 80 Union Bridge Road, a member of Sustainable Duxbury, distributed an information packet to members of the Board. He noted that capacity factors are not typically seen in zoning bylaws. He stated his opinion that a 28 percent capacity requirement is too high for a community-scale wind facility because there are too many trees in the town and taller towers may be required in order to meet this capacity. He stated that capacity may not be a reliable measure of productivity for wind turbines that run all day, every day. The worldwide average capacity is 19.6 percent.

Mr. Wadsworth asked about the benefit of capacity, and Mr. Savicki responded that the goal is really to produce any energy, noting that a 28 percent capacity is virtually impossible for a 225-foot tall wind turbine. He stated that the taller the turbine, the higher the output. Mr. Sherman stated that it is important to include capacity standards in the town's Zoning Bylaws to make sure that wind facilities are economically viable.

Ms. Janis Owens of 23 Priscilla Lane, a member of Sustainable Duxbury, stated her opinion that a 250-foot height is a bare minimum for an effective community-scale wind turbine, noting that a 225-foot maximum height would essentially "gut" the bylaw. She stated that turbines need to be built above the treeline to be effective.

Ms. Ellen Nolan of 42 Hounds Ditch Lane took issue with Mr. Rothschild's view of setback and health and safety factors, such as potential fire, ice and noise issues. She noted that the Alternative Energy Committee is considering a proposed wind turbine on a site abutting her neighborhood, a site that is 100 feet from a golf course and public walking trail. Although turbine collapse is a worst-case scenario, it is a factor that should be considered.

TOWN CLERK

12 JAN 25 PM 12:51
DUXBURY, MASS

Ms. Susan Fontaine of 53 Railroad Avenue, a member of both the Alternative Energy Committee and Sustainable Duxbury, stated that the effect of the citizen petitions is to ban wind facilities in the town. She stated that there are no locations in the town where a 225-foot turbine would be viable. With requirements like this it may be difficult to find financing. The proposed height restriction is arbitrary and the setbacks go beyond current industry standards. The acoustic limits proposed are not enforceable, and the proposed sound minimum is very low, only slightly above normal background noise levels. Sound acoustics cannot be measured until the turbine is constructed. No one knows what causes turbine swish or thumps and they cannot be predicted and can only be measured after a turbine is built. Overall, she stated that the proposed language would make wind turbines in the town unlikely or impossible.

Ms. Joanne Levesque of 52 Hounds Ditch Lane stated that the petitioners are trying to err on the side of caution and are not trying to be overly restrictive. She noted that both the Planning Board and Board of Health members have stated at public meetings that they are not educated regarding wind facilities, noting that they should be aware of the issues. Ms. MacNab noted that this public hearing process is intended for the purpose of educating residents and board members. She noted that this is a petition brought forth by residents and the Planning Board is looking to those residents to get information and the public will want information also. Mr. Cutler added that the Planning Board will become experts as the Special Permit Granting Authority. Tonight the Board is vetting a proposal from residents on a Zoning Bylaw amendment; this is not a referendum on the Alternative Energy Committee's proposed siting of a wind turbine on North Hill.

Mr. Dan Campbell of 904 Tremont Street stated that the proposed wind turbine will create shadow flicker up to thirty hours per year, according to the Alternative Energy Committee's consultant's report. Mr. Wadsworth stated that he is trying to understand the issue with shadow flicker. Atty. Senie stated that there is no source for the recommended maximum of five hour maximum standard proposed. There is no direct health impact of shadow flicker, although it can be annoying or distressing.

Ms. Caroline Mullins of 65 Hound's Ditch Lane stated that she would be affected if a wind turbine is built at North Hill. She expressed concern that it may make her home difficult to sell in the future.

Mr. Jay Hunt of 72 Hounds Ditch Lane noted that the two citizen petitions should be viewed as separate freestanding articles. He stated that the long form may be overkill, and the simpler version is reasonable as far as height and setback are concerned.

Ms. Sara Fargo of 12 Rachael's Lane noted that there are animal health issues involved with wind turbines as well as human health concerns.

Mr. James Goldenberg of 576 Washington Street, chairman of the Alternative Energy Committee (AEC), noted that his committee has been studying wind energy for several years, and started a feasibility study a couple of years ago. Abutters to a potential site are now starting to voice concerns. The AEC is a proponent of studying wind energy; however, they are a long way from a conclusion. They are looking at the economic benefit of wind versus other forms of alternative energy. The result of either petition, either the short or long version, would be to eliminate locating a wind turbine anywhere in the town of Duxbury other than the beach.

Mr. Cutler noted that because wind facilities can only be located within the Publicly Owned Land Overlay District, the proposed setbacks outlined in the citizen petitions may make wind facilities impossible for the town. Therefore, he asked the petitioners why they are not proposing to eliminate the Wind Facilities bylaw. Atty. Senie responded that the abutters are varied in their opinions: some wanted to eliminate the bylaw and others wanted to revise the existing bylaw.

Mr. Jack Murphy of 102 Hounds Ditch Lane stated that the petitioners are not against wind energy, but are concerned about where wind turbines are placed. The current height standards are too large and facilities of that size do not belong in the town of Duxbury, using current technology.

Mr. Joseph Marrocco of 248 Saint George Street stated that he would not want a wind turbine in his backyard and would not want a wind tower if there are any health effects. The Planning Board's job is to protect the town, and Duxbury is different from other towns like Hull that have wind turbines.

Ms. Judith Vose of 233 Powder Point Avenue noted that everybody cares about the town, but if people want wind energy where could a facility be located? She suggested that people on both sides of the issue work together to create a bylaw that everyone can be satisfied with.

Mr. Sherman noted that a feasibility study is already underway for solar energy. The town of Duxbury already purchases 13 percent of its energy from renewable sources and the state has mandated an increase in that standard. There are several technologies that could be pursued such as solar and tidal. The town is well on course toward achieving 20 percent renewable energy.

Ms. Nolan expressed concern as a potential abutter that a wind turbine in Falmouth was recently closed due to health concerns.

Ms. MacNab noted that more public input may be required before the Board can vote on its recommendations for these two citizen petitions. Mr. Broadrick requested that any information be submitted to the Planning Department one week in advance of the continued public hearing.

MOTION: Mr. Wadsworth made a motion, and Mr. Cutler provided a second, to continue both public hearings for Annual Town Meeting 2012 zoning articles regarding two citizen petitions for amendments to Zoning Bylaws Section 600 (Community-Scale Wind Facilities) until January 23, 2012, with additional information to be submitted by January 17, 2012.

DISCUSSION: Mr. Bear stated that it makes no sense to keep the public hearing open because most concerns appear to relate to the potential location of a wind turbine instead of the Zoning Bylaw amendments regarding height and setback. Mr. Cutler stated that he would not want to close the public hearing only to have someone request to re-open it. Ms. Ladd Fiorini agreed that any information submitted should relate to the petitions.

VOTE: The motion passed 5-1, with Mr. Bear voting against.

ANR PLAN OF LAND: 0 & 907 TREMONT STREET / ALFIERI AND MILES

Atty. Lee Alfieri and Mr. Daniel Orwig were present to represent the application. Mr. Broadrick noted that staff had just been handed proof of executorship for the estate of Priscilla Miles, one of the property owners. Mr. Broadrick also noted that revised plans had been submitted that show setbacks and show the correct names of property owners. The identification of lots on Assessor's map, for example "190d," is irrelevant because GIS maps and Assessor's property cards only reference the map number, not the extra letter. Mr. Broadrick reviewed depicted setbacks with Board members. He noted that a shed setback is shown as 13.5 feet. Mr. Glennon expressed concern that the shed is located within the setback limit of 15 feet. Mr. Wadsworth noted that this breach of setback may render the new lot unbuildable. The applicants stated that they would like to revise the plans once more to move the proposed lot line to show a 15-foot setback from the existing shed and requested to continue the discussion until the next Board meeting.

MOTION: Ms. Ladd Fiorini made a motion, and Mr. Cutler provided a second, to continue discussion regarding an ANR Plan of Land at 0 & 907 Tremont Street until January 23, 2012, with revised plans due by January 17, 2012 and a decision deadline of January 17, 2012.

VOTE: The motion carried unanimously, 6-0.

OTHER BUSINESS

Meeting Minutes:

MOTION: Mr. Wadsworth made a motion, and Mr. Glennon provided a second, to approve meeting minutes of December 12, 2011 as written.

VOTE: The motion carried unanimously, 6-0.

ZBA Decision, 405 Bay Road / Anello & Bradford: Mr. Glennon noted that in its decision the Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) rejected the Planning Board's recommendation that a variance is required due to setback violations, with the rationale that a variance is not required because the setback violation is not a new nonconformity. Mr. Broadrick noted that special permits should correct any nonconformities rather than perpetuate or increase them. Mr. Glennon offered to review pertinent case law.

ADJOURNMENT

The Planning Board meeting adjourned at 10:02 PM. The next Planning Board meeting will take place on Monday, January 23, 2012 at 7:00 PM at Duxbury Senior Center, 10 Mayflower Street.

MATERIALS REVIEWED

ANR PLAN OF LAND: 0 & 907 TREMONT STREET

- ANR application and plan submitted 10/27/11
- GIS map, Assessor's property cards, and Pictometry orthophoto

ATM ZONING ARTICLE HEARING RE: FIRM MAPS

- Public hearing notice for all zoning articles
- Draft ATM warrant dated 12/19/11
- FIRM map article as submitted to BOS on 12/06/11
- Email correspondence between T. Broadrick and D. Mendelsohn of FEMA dated December 2010-2011

ATM ZONING ARTICLE HEARING RE: COMMUNITY-SCALE WIND FACILITIES CITIZEN PETITION (SHORT FORM)

- Citizen petition submitted to BOS on 12/13/11

ATM ZONING ARTICLE HEARING RE: COMMUNITY-SCALE WIND FACILITIES CITIZEN PETITION (LONG FORM)

- Citizen petition submitted to BOS on 12/13/11

OTHER BUSINESS

- Minutes of 12/12/11
- PB meeting schedule 2012
- Construction Cost Estimates, December 2011
- ZBA Decision: 405 Bay Road / Anello & Bradford

TOWN CLERK
12 JAN 25 PM 12:22
DUXBURY, MASS.

SIGN IN SHEET

January 9, 2012

Public Hearing on Zoning Articles
for Annual Town Meeting 2012

TOWN CLERK
12 JAN 25 PM 12:22
DUXBURY, MASS.

Please print your name and address for our records:

Name

Address:

Christopher Scnie

5 East Main St. Westborough, MA

Jim Savicki

80 Union Bridge Rd

Janis Ruess

23 Priscilla Ln., DUX

GREG NOCAN

42 Hounds Ditch Ln Dux.

DAN CAMPBELL

904 TREMONT ST., DUXBURY

Ellen Nolan

42 Hounds Ditch Ln Duxbury

Chris Magwood

138 Laurel Dr

Chris Sherman

92 Hounds Ditch Ln

Jamie Swegun

52 Hounds Ditch Lane

Donna M. White

62 Hounds Ditch Lane

C. Miller

65 Hounds Ditch

SIGN IN SHEET

January 9, 2012

Public Hearing on Zoning Articles
for Annual Town Meeting 2012

TOWN CLERK
12 JAN 25 PM 12:22
DUXBURY, MASS.

Please print your name and address for our records:

Name

Address:

SARA FARGO

12 RIVERSIDE AVE

KURT TRAMPOSCH

WAYLAND, MA

Dave Maimaron

33 SURREY LANE DUX

Jim Gohlberg

576 Washington St

Michelle Archambault

75 Hounds Ditch

Joseph Mancuso

248 St George St

Chris Fests

57 Cross Street

Kim Curley

85 Hounds Ditch

Wayne Clough

29 Boxwood Lane

JACK SMITH

2 Harmony Hill